

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/057,410 01/23/2002 Michael Van Abel P/73-6 06/17/2003 Philip M. Weiss **EXAMINER WEISS & WEISS** PICKETT, JOHN G 500 OLD COUNTRY ROAD GARDEN CITY, NY 11530 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		I A Constant		
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication app		Application No.	Applicant(s)	h n
		10/057,410	ABEL ET AL.	W
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Gregory Pickett	3728	
Period fo		rears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	January 2002		
2a)□		is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the marite i	ic
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under			15
· · _	on of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.		
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
-	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		aminer	
٠٠,۵	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	G	
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional applicati	on).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office			

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species 1, Figures 1-4, Species 2, Figures 5 and 5a, Species 3, Figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 6, and 7 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/057,410

Art Unit: 3728

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Philip Weiss on June 13, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 703-305-

8321. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for

regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

100

Gregory Pickett Examiner

June 13, 2003

Page 4

Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700